Superior Court of California, Riverside County Family Law – Private Mediation Post-Mediation Survey

RI-ADR015

Local Rule 3228 requires parties and/or their attorneys to submit this survey within ten (10) days after the last mediation session. All mediation participants, including non-parties, may also submit surveys. Your responses will help the court improve its Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR) programs and will be included in statistical reports. Trial judges and non-ADR court staff do not see specific evaluations. Court staff will not show this survey to your mediator without permission (see Question 9).

Please send your completed form to the ADR Director as follows:

Riverside County Superior Court, Historic Courthouse 4050 Main Street, Riverside, CA 92501

E-mail: CourtADRDirector@riverside.courts.ca.gov

Fax: (951) 777-3164

Case	Nam	e:			Case Number:				
Mediator's Name:					Date(s) of Mediation:				
Case type: Direction Direc			Divorce	☐ Spousal Support	☐ Child Support	☐ Custody & Visitation			
			☐ Paternity	☐ Property or business division	Pensions	Other:			
You	are:		Petitioner Other	Petitioner's attor	. — .	Respondent's attorney			
1.	Did y	ou reach an	agreement at the	mediation session(s)?	☐ Yes ☐	No Partially			
	Did y	ou reach an	agreement after t	he mediation session(s)?	☐ Yes ☐	No Partially			
2.	How	would you ra Excellent		experience in general?	Satisfactory	☐ Bad			
3.	How	many media	tion sessions occ	urred?	Total number of hou	rs:			
4.	IF TH	HE CASE FU	LLY OR PARTIAL	LY SETTLED AT THE M	EDIATION SESSION:				
	a.	How satisfic	•	e settlement agreement? Satisfied	Not satisfied				
	b.	_	e case resolved?						
		As an i	rect result of the nodirect result of the tion was unrelated						

Did/will the mediation help you reach an agreement later? Yes	solving the solvin	his case \$10 h litigation resolve	e by: ,000 - \$2 on.)	25,000, [
Why didn't the case settle? Check all that apply: An essential person did not participate or was not prepared. Timing: ☐ Parties lacked essential information ☐ A The opposing side's lack of cooperation. ☐ Trial is more appropriate than mediation in this case. ☐ The mediator lacked sufficient skills or expertise. ☐ Other (Explain): ☐ Trial is more appropriate than mediation in this case. ☐ The mediator lacked sufficient skills or expertise. ☐ Other (Explain): ☐ Trial is more appropriate than mediation ☐ reduced ☐ increased your side's total costs in restander \$1000 ☐ \$1000 - \$2500 ☐ \$2500 - \$5000 ☐ \$5000 - \$5000 ☐ \$5000 - \$5000 ☐ \$1000 - \$1000 ☐ \$1000 ☐	solving the solvin	his case \$10 h litigation resolve	e by: ,000 - \$2 on.)	25,000, [
An essential person did not participate or was not prepare Timing: Parties lacked essential information A The opposing side's lack of cooperation. Trial is more appropriate than mediation in this case. The mediator lacked sufficient skills or expertise. Other (Explain): mediation reduced increased your side's total costs in restander \$1000 \$1000 - \$2500 \$2500 - \$5000 \$5000 - \$5000 \$500	solving the solvin	his case \$10 h litigation resolve	e by: ,000 - \$2 on.)	25,000, [
nder \$1000 \square \$1000 - \$2500 \square \$2500 - \$5000 \square \$5000 - \$sider attorneys fees, expert witness fees and other costs associnediation \square reduced \square increased the number of court days not \square ½ day \square 1 – 2 days \square 3 – 5 days \square 5 – 10 days	\$10,000 iated with	☐ \$10. h litigation	,000 - \$2 on.)		☐ Other	:										
sider attorneys fees, expert witness fees and other costs associnediation \square reduced \square increased the number of court days not $\square \frac{1}{2}$ day $\square 1 - 2$ days $\square 3 - 5$ days $\square 5 - 10$ days	iated with eeded to	n litigation	on.)		Other	·										
☐ ½ day ☐ 1 – 2 days ☐ 3 – 5 days ☐ 5 – 10 days	☐ mor		e this cas	se by:												
					The mediation \square reduced \square increased the number of court days needed to resolve this case by:											
On a scale of 1 to 5, with 1 being the <u>lowest</u> level and 5 being the <u>highest</u> level, please indicate your satisfaction by the following statements: N/A Disagree Agree Don't K																
			3	4		T										
This mediation process was fair to all parties.	<u> </u>	_		-		1										
This mediation process allowed me/my client to fully express our concerns.																
Communication between the parties/attorneys improved.																
/my client felt unduly pressured by the mediator to reach an agreement. (1=did <u>not</u> feel pressured; 5= <u>did</u> feel pressured)																
The mediator understood the key issues and concerns.																
f the sees didn't settle or didn't fully settle. The mediation																
f the case didn't settle or didn't fully settle: The mediation																
nelped the parties resolve, narrow or eliminate some issues.																
nelped the parties resolve, narrow or eliminate some issues. The mediator has charged a fair amount for his/her services. The mediator has provided adequate notice of all fees and																
nelped the parties resolve, narrow or eliminate some issues. The mediator has charged a fair amount for his/her services.																
	Communication between the parties/attorneys improved. If you client felt unduly pressured by the mediator to reach an agreement. (1=did not feel pressured; 5=did feel pressured) The mediator understood the key issues and concerns.	This mediation process was fair to all parties. This mediation process allowed me/my client to fully express our concerns. Communication between the parties/attorneys improved. Important felt unduly pressured by the mediator to reach an agreement. (1=did not feel pressured; 5=did feel pressured) The mediator understood the key issues and concerns.	This mediation process was fair to all parties. This mediation process allowed me/my client to fully express our concerns. Communication between the parties/attorneys improved. I'my client felt unduly pressured by the mediator to reach an agreement. (1=did not feel pressured; 5=did feel pressured) The mediator understood the key issues and concerns.	This mediation process was fair to all parties. This mediation process allowed me/my client to fully express our concerns. Communication between the parties/attorneys improved. Important felt unduly pressured by the mediator to reach an agreement. (1=did not feel pressured; 5=did feel pressured) The mediator understood the key issues and concerns.	This mediation process was fair to all parties. This mediation process allowed me/my client to fully express our concerns. Communication between the parties/attorneys improved. Important felt unduly pressured by the mediator to reach an agreement. (1=did not feel pressured; 5=did feel pressured) The mediator understood the key issues and concerns.	This mediation process was fair to all parties. This mediation process allowed me/my client to fully express our concerns. Communication between the parties/attorneys improved. Important felt unduly pressured by the mediator to reach an agreement. (1=did not feel pressured; 5=did feel pressured) The mediator understood the key issues and concerns.										

Thank you for your feedback. You may contact the ADR Director with additional comments.